## The anisotropic temperature factor in triclinic coordinates. By Jürg Waser, Department of Chem-

 istry, The Rice Institute, Houston, Texas, U.S.A.(Received 26 May 1955)

The problem of finding the principal axes of the ellipsoid describing the anisotropic temperature factor in a system of oblique axes has been recently treated (Rollett \& Davies, 1955) by first introducing a set of orthogonal axes. It is, however, simpler and more directly solved in the original system of axes, as will be shown in the following.

Consider the triclinic axes $\mathbf{a}_{j}$ with corresponding reciprocal axes $\mathbf{b}_{j}$. The anisotropic temperature factor of a given atom is of the form

$$
\exp \left(-\sum_{i j} B_{i j} h_{i} h_{j}\right)
$$

where the $h_{i}$ are the indices of a given reflection which has the reciprocal-lattice vector $\mathbf{h}=\sum_{i} h_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}$ associated with it. The $B_{i j}=B_{j i}$ are the components of a symmetric tensor describing the temperature effect. More generally, in terms of a continuous reciprocal-space rector $\mathbf{q}=\sum_{i} q_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}$, the temperature factor has the form

$$
\exp \left(-\sum_{i j} B_{i j} q_{i} q_{j}\right)
$$

Consider the surface, in reciprocal space, for which the temperature factor is constant,

$$
\text { i.e. } \sum_{i j} B_{i i} q_{i} q_{j}=\text { const. }=B
$$

Owing to the physical nature of the tensor $B_{i j}$ the quadratic form is positive definite and this equation represents an ellipsoid. The problem of finding the principal axes of this ellipsoid is the same as that of finding vectors $\mathbf{q}$ for which $q^{2}=\sum_{j} q_{i} q_{j} \mathbf{b}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{j}$ is stationary with the subsidiary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i j} B_{i j} q_{2} q_{j}=B \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, introducing the Lagrange multiplier $1 / \lambda$, we have

$$
\delta\left[\sum_{i j}\left(\mathbf{b}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{j}-B_{i j} / \lambda\right) q_{i} q_{j}\right]=0
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}\left(B_{i j}-\lambda \mathbf{b}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{j}\right) q_{i}=0 ; j=1,2,3 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These three linear homogeneous equations for the $q_{i}$ have non-trivial solutions only when the determinant of the coefficients vanishes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{i j}-\lambda \mathbf{b}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{j}\right\|=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This represents a cubic equation in $\lambda$ which has three real, positive solutions (since the quadratic form is positive definite) which we shall call $\lambda^{(r)}, r=1,2,3$. For each $\lambda^{(r)}$, (1) and (2) determine a set $q_{i}{ }^{(r)}$ and thus a vector $\mathbf{q}^{(r)}$ which points along a principal axis.

When $\mathbf{q}$ is set equal to $\mathbf{q}^{(r)}$ equations (1) and (2) yield $\lambda^{(r)}\left(q^{(r)}\right)^{2}=B$, so that the $r^{\prime}$ th principal axis has the length $q^{(r)}=\left(B / \lambda^{(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. When all $\lambda^{(r)}$ are different from each other, the different $\mathbf{q}^{(r)}$ can be shown (by the use of (2)) to be perpendicular to each other. If two or three $\lambda^{(r)}$ are identical the corresponding $\mathbf{q}^{(r)}$ are not completely determined but can always be chosen mutually per-
pendicular (ellipsoid of revolution or sphere). We assume that the $\mathbf{q}^{(r)}$ have been chosen in this way so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{q}^{(r)} \cdot \mathbf{q}^{\left(r^{\prime}\right)}=\sum_{i j} q_{i}^{(r)} q_{j}^{\left(r^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{b}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{j}=\delta_{r r^{\prime}} B / \lambda^{(r)}, ~, ~} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce a dimensionless cartesian coordinate system with axes parallel to the principal axes. The base vectors are:

$$
\mathbf{e}_{r}=\sum_{i}\left(q_{i}^{(r)} / q^{(r)}\right) \mathbf{b}_{i} ; e_{r}=1 ; r=1,2,3
$$

Let $Q_{j}$ be the components of $\mathbf{q}$ in this system, $\mathbf{q}=$ $\sum_{j} Q_{j} \mathbf{e}_{j}$. Since the $q_{j}$ transform with the inverse transposed transformation matrix of the $b_{i}$,

$$
q_{i}=\sum_{j}\left(q_{i}^{(j)} / q^{(\lambda)}\right) Q_{j}
$$

In terms of the new coordinates $Q_{j}$ the quadratic form (1) becomes diagonalized,

$$
\sum_{i j} B_{i j} q_{i} q_{j}=\sum_{r} \lambda^{(r)} Q_{r}^{2}
$$

The Fourier transform of $\exp \left(-\sum_{r} \lambda^{(r)} Q_{r}^{2}\right)$ is $\left[\Pi_{r}\left(\pi / \lambda^{(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \exp \left[-\sum_{r}\left(\pi^{2} / \lambda^{(r)}\right) X_{r}^{2}\right]$, where the $X_{r}$ are the components of the displacement x of the atom considered in the system extended by the $\mathbf{e}_{r}$. Along the axis $\mathbf{e}_{r}$ the mean square displacement is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle X_{r}^{2}\right\rangle_{\text {ave. }}=\left[\boldsymbol{\Pi}\left(\pi / \lambda^{(r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
& \quad \times \iiint_{r}^{2} X_{r}^{2} \exp \left(-\sum_{j} \pi^{2} X_{j}^{2} / \lambda^{(j)}\right) d X_{1} d X_{2} d X_{3}=\lambda^{(r)} / 2 \pi^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see also Cochran, 1954). More generally, the mean square of the component of the displacement in an arbitrary direction characterized by direction cosines $\alpha_{i}$ is given by

$$
\left\langle\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} X_{i}\right)^{2}\right\rangle_{\text {ave. }}=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2} \lambda^{(i)} / 2 \pi^{2}
$$

This relationship is described by the ellipsoid $\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} \lambda^{(i)} / 2 \pi^{2}$ $=1$ in the following way. Its principal axes have the lengths $\left(\lambda^{(r)} / 2 \pi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and, more generally, the length of a radius vector in the direction characterized by cosines $\alpha_{i}$ is $\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2} \lambda^{(i)} / 2 \pi^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, which is the inverse of the root-meansquare displacement of the atom in this direction. On the other hand, the surface generated by a radius vector

$$
\mathbf{r}=\left(\sum_{j} \alpha_{j}^{2} \lambda^{(j)} / 2 \pi^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i},
$$

whose length is equal to the r.m.s. displacement in the direction ( $x_{i}$ ), is of the fourth order.
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